



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,844	07/25/2003	Jinhun Joung	2003P07969 US	2648

7590 11/07/2006
Elsa Keller
Siemens Corporation
Intellectual Property Department
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, NJ 08830

EXAMINER

MALEVIC, DJURA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2884

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/627,844

Applicant(s)

JOUNG ET AL.

Examiner

Djura Malevic

Art Unit

2884

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 9/8/2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soluri et al. (US Pub. 2002/0175289 A1) in view of Hase et al. (US patent 5,099,134).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Soluri discloses a collimator device comprising a grid of collimation square holes (Fig 2), and a reflecting layer 24 with at least a portion of the surfaces of said sheets in which pixellated scintillators 30 are individually located in each of said collimation square holes [0037]. Soluri does not disclose that the square holes are formed by a plurality of sheets, wherein each sheet having evenly spaced slots for insertion of other sheets to form the collimator. Hase teaches that the septa section of the collimator includes a plurality of plates (sheets), made of tungsten or lead alloy, having focused slits for inserting other plates to form the collimator (Abstract). Hase and Soluri are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, collimators.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Soluri to include sheets having evenly spaced slots

for inserting other sheets to form a collimator such as that taught by Hase in order to ease assembling and manufacturing yield (Col. 1, Line 40 - 52).

Regarding claims 2 and 20, Soluri discloses that optically reflecting material maximizes light intensity of pixellated scintillators [0045].

Regarding claims 3 and 21, Soluri discloses that the pixellated scintillators are scintillation crystals [0037].

Regarding claims 4 and 22, Soluri discloses that the pixellated scintillators having a square-shaped configuration [0037].

Regarding claims 5-7 and 23-25, Soluri discloses that the pluralities of sheets are formed by tungsten or lead, which have a high density [0033].

Regarding claims 8, 9, 26 and 27, Soluri discloses the use of an optical reflecting material [0039] however, Soluri does not disclose using TiO_2 and MgO as the reflecting material. It would have been obvious to include TiO_2 and MgO as the reflecting material, since it is conventionally used in that environment and would make the reflectance more efficient in view of what is old and well known in the art.

Regarding claim 10, Soluri discloses a collimator device comprising a grid of collimation square holes (Fig 2); and a reflecting layer 24 with at least a portion of the surfaces of said sheets in which pixellated scintillators 30 are individually located in each of said collimation square holes [0037]. Furthermore, Soluri discloses a photomultiplier (detector) coupled to pixilated scintillator [0050]. Soluri does not disclose that the square holes are formed by a plurality of sheets, wherein each sheet having evenly spaced slots for insertion of other sheets to form the collimator. Hase teaches

Art Unit: 2884

that the septa section of the collimator includes a plurality of plates (sheets), made of tungsten or lead alloy, having focused slits for inserting other plates to form the collimator (Abstract). Hase and Soluri are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, collimators.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Soluri to include sheets having evenly spaced slots for inserting other sheets to form a collimator such as that taught by Hase in order to ease assembling and manufacturing (Col. 4, Line 12).

Regarding claim 11, Soluri discloses that optically reflecting material maximizes light intensity of pixellated scintillators [0045].

Regarding claim 12, Soluri discloses that the pixellated scintillators are scintillation crystals [0037].

Regarding claim 13, Soluri discloses that the pixilated scintillators having a square-shaped configuration [0037].

Regarding claims 14, 15 and 16, Soluri discloses that the pluralities of sheets are formed by tungsten or lead, which have a high density [0033].

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Soluri discloses the use of an optical reflecting material [0039] however; Soluri does not disclose using TiO_2 and MgO as the reflecting material. It would have been obvious to include TiO_2 and MgO as the reflecting material, since it is conventionally used in that environment and would make the reflectance more efficient in view of what is old and well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2884

Regarding claims 28, Soluri discloses a building block for a collimator device comprising a grid of collimation square holes (Fig 2), and a reflecting layer 24 with at least a portion of the surfaces of said sheets in which pixellated scintillators 30 are individually located in each of said collimation square holes [0037]. Soluri does not disclose that the square holes are formed by a plurality of sheets, wherein each sheet having evenly spaced slots for insertion of other sheets to form the collimator. Hase teaches that the septa section of the collimator includes a plurality of plates (sheets) having focused slits for inserting other plates to form the collimator (Abstract). Hase and Soluri are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, collimators.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Soluri to include sheets having evenly spaced slots for inserting other sheets to form a collimator such as that taught by Hase in order to ease assembling and manufacturing yield (Col. 1, Line 40 - 52). Response to

Arguments

With regards to the remarks filed 07/19/2006 pertaining to the rejection of claims 1-28, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

In response to applicant's argument that Soluri et al. (US Pub. 2002/0175289 A1) fails to disclose a coating with an optically reflective material of at least a surface of the sheets forming the collimation grid. Applicant is directed to Soluri (figure 3), which seemingly discloses a reflective material 24 coating (i.e. covering) at least a portion of the collimation grid 11.

Art Unit: 2884

In response to applicant's argument that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated by Hase et al. (US Patent 5,099,134) to have modified Soluri's scintigraphic device, since the scintigraphic device such as that taught by Soluri as a whole is somewhat not conventional. The examiner points out that it has been held that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of one reference may be bodily incorporated into the other to produce the claimed subject matter but simply what the combination of references makes obvious to one of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. In this insistence, although Soluri is allegedly not conventional, Soluri nevertheless benefits from Hases' teachings, since Hase teaches an improved collimator that is less complicated to construct in addition to improving the manufacturing yield (Col. Line 41 – Line 52).

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon the present application, the examiner disagrees since, as noted above, the motivation for the combination (i.e., to improve manufacturing yield) was suggested by Hase.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmand et al. (US Pub. 2004/0140431 A1) discloses a grid array, adapted to receive a plurality of scintillators used in association with an imaging device. Also, Cusano (US Paten 4,187,427) teaches a structure for collimated scintillation detectors using optically reflective material like magnesium oxide, barium sulfate..etc.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Djura Malevic whose telephone number is 571.272.5975. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 8:30am and 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-24444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2884

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Djura Malevic
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2884
571.272.5975



ALBERT J. GAGLIARDI
PRIMARY EXAMINER